



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/668,291	09/25/2000	Jean-Paul Debalme	1247-0849-6VF	1279

22850 7590 04/07/2003

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.  
FOURTH FLOOR  
1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY  
ARLINGTON, VA 22202

EXAMINER

LEE, EDMUND H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1732

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*Handwritten:* 16, 20-26

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/668,291

Applicant(s)

DEBALME ET AL.

Examiner

EDMUND H LEE

Art Unit

1732

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 March 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 and 20-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 and 20-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

### DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/21/03 has been entered.

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loubinoux et al (USPN 6294036) in view of Angell, Jr. et al (USPN 5037284) and Kuts (USPN 2954815). In regard to claim 1, Loubinoux et al teach the basic claimed process including a process for manufacturing a composite tape based on reinforced fibers and thermoplastic organic material (col 2, ln 10-col 3, ln 40; figs 1-6); entraining yarns based on thermoplastic and reinforcing fibers and bring together the yarns in a parallel and touching manner in the form of a sheet (col 2, ln 10-col 3, ln 40; figs 1-6); heating the sheet in a heating zone wherein the sheet is heated to a temperature reaching at least the melting point of the thermoplastic without reaching the softening temperature of the reinforcing fibers (col 2, lns 10-col 3, ln 40; figs 1-6); introducing the sheet against at least one rotating bar that shapes and centers the touching yarns of the sheet wherein

Art Unit: 1732

the sheet is maintained at a temperature at which the thermoplastic is malleable and the touching yarns are brought together into a more touching state (col 2, lns 63-66; col 3, ln 66-col 4, ln 28)--as a note, the elimination of the corrugations indicate that the touching yarns were moved into a more touching state; and cooling the sheet in order to consolidate the yarns by freezing the thermoplastic and set the dimension and appearance of the sheet (col 5, ln 52-col 6, ln 10; figs 1-6). However, Loubinoux et al does not teach a rotating impregnation device that maintains the temperature of the sheet at a temperature at which the thermoplastic is malleable and distributes the thermoplastic uniformly and impregnates the fibers; and a shaping and centering device including a roller in a shape of a hyperboloid. In regard to a rotating impregnation device, Angell, Jr. et al teach a process for manufacturing resin-impregnated fiber tows (figs 1-2); using an impregnation station including kneader rolls and nip rolls positioned before a centering roll wherein the kneader and nip rolls cause uniform distribution of the resin and uniform impregnation of the fibers (col 4, lns 18-50; figs 1-2); and heating the kneader rolls and nip rolls to maintain the resin in a molten condition (col 4, lns 42-48; figs 1-6). Loubinoux et al and Angell, Jr. et al are combinable because they are analogous with respect to forming a fiber-reinforced tape/sheet/tow. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to redesign the apparatus of Loubinoux et al to include the kneader rolls and nip rolls of Angell, Jr. et al between the heating zone and at least one rotating bar of Loubinoux et al in order to produce a fiber-reinforced sheet having greater strength and uniformity. In regard to a shaping and centering device including a roller in a shape of a hyperboloid,

Art Unit: 1732

Loubinoux et al teach using a bar for centering having a varying cross-section and curved (col 4, lns 34-50). Kuts teaches a method of forming a ribbons from rubber threads (figs 1-3); and using a concave or hour glass roll 52 to gather threads, i.e., to crowd/bring together threads (col 4, lns 60-67; figs 7 and 11). Loubinoux et al and Kuts are combinable because they analogous with respect to using a roll/bar to center threads/yarns. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the concave or hour glass roller of Kuts for the bar of Loubinoux et al in order to ensure accurate gathering of the yarns of Loubinoux et al. In regard to claims 2-6, Loubinoux et al teach providing yarns consisting of continuous glass filaments and continuous thermoplastic filaments which are co-mingled (col 2, ln 10-col 3, ln 40); unreeling a continuous yarn of reinforcing filaments and thermoplastic filaments and regulating the tension of the yarns (col 2, ln 10-col 3, ln 40; col 8, lns 50-60; figs 1-6); passing the sheet (15, 18, or 19) through an additional heating zone (17) after the sheet has passed the impregnation device (fig 2)-- as a note, fig 2 clearly shows that the additional heating zone (17) is positioned downstream of the rotating bars (24, 25); and winding the fiber-reinforced sheet on a mandrel (col 5, lns 30-33). However, Loubinoux et al does not teach stripping static electricity from the yarns before passing the yarns through the heating zone. Such is well-known in the molding art in order to prevent the embedment of contamination or eliminate the risk of producing sparks. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to strip any static electricity

Art Unit: 1732

from the yarns of Loubinoux et al before they are heated in order to ensure a safe molding process and produce a high quality contaminate-free, fiber-reinforced sheet.

4. Claims 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loubinoux et al (USPN 6294036) in view of Angell, Jr. et al (USPN 5037284) and Kuts (USPN 2954815). In regard to claim 20, Loubinoux et al teach the basic claimed process including a process for manufacturing a composite tape based on reinforced fibers and thermoplastic organic material (col 2, ln 10-col 3, ln 40; figs 1-6); entraining yarns based on thermoplastic and reinforcing fibers and bring together the yarns in a parallel and touching manner in the form of a sheet (col 2, ln 10-col 3, ln 40; figs 1-6); heating the sheet in a heating zone wherein the sheet is heated to a temperature reaching at least the melting point of the thermoplastic without reaching the softening temperature of the reinforcing fibers (col 2, lns 10-col 3, ln 40; figs 1-6); introducing the sheet against at least one rotating bar that shapes and centers the touching yarns of the sheet wherein the sheet is maintained at a temperature at which the thermoplastic is malleable and the touching yarns are brought together into a more touching state (col 2, lns 63-66; col 3, ln 66-col 4, ln 28)--as a note, the elimination of the corrugations indicate that the touching yarns were moved into a more touching state; and cooling the sheet in order to consolidate the yarns by freezing (col 5, ln 52-col 6, ln 10; figs 1-6). However, Loubinoux et al does not teach a rotating impregnation device that maintains the temperature of the sheet at a temperature at which the thermoplastic is malleable and distributes the thermoplastic uniformly and impregnates the fibers; and a shaping and centering device including a roller in a shape of a hyperboloid. In regard to a

Art Unit: 1732

rotating impregnation device, Angell, Jr. et al teach a process for manufacturing resin-impregnated fiber tows (figs 1-2); using an impregnation station including kneader rolls and nip rolls positioned before a centering roll wherein the kneader and nip rolls cause uniform distribution of the resin and uniform impregnation of the fibers (col 4, lns 18-50; figs 1-2); and heating the kneader rolls and nip rolls to maintain the resin in a molten condition (col 4, lns 42-48; figs 1-6). Loubinoux et al and Angell, Jr. et al are combinable because they are analogous with respect to forming a fiber-reinforced tape/sheet/tow. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to redesign the apparatus of Loubinoux et al to include the kneader rolls and nip rolls of Angell, Jr. et al between the heating zone and at least one rotating bar of Loubinoux et al in order to produce a fiber-reinforced sheet having greater strength and uniformity. In regard to a shaping and centering device including a roller in a shape of a hyperboloid, Loubinoux et al teach using a bar for centering having a varying cross-section and curved (col 4, lns 34-50). Kuts teaches a method of forming a ribbons from rubber threads (figs 1-3); and using a concave or hour glass roll 52 to gather threads, i.e., to crowd/bring together threads (col 4, lns 60-67; figs 7 and 11). Loubinoux et al and Kuts are combinable because they analogous with respect to using a roll/bar to center threads/yarns. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the concave or hour glass roller of Kuts for the bar of Loubinoux et al in order to ensure accurate gathering of the yarns of Loubinoux et al. In regard to claims 21-26, Loubinoux et al teach providing yarns consisting of continuous glass filaments and continuous thermoplastic filaments

Art Unit: 1732

which are co-mingled (col 2, ln 10-col 3, ln 40); unreeling a continuous yarn of reinforcing filaments and thermoplastic filaments and regulating the tension of the yarns (col 2, ln 10-col 3, ln 40; col 8, lns 50-60; figs 1-6); passing the sheet (15, 18, or 19) through an additional heating zone (17) after the sheet has passed the impregnation device (fig 2)--as a note, fig 2 clearly shows that the additional heating zone (17) is positioned downstream of the rotating bars (24, 25); winding the fiber-reinforced sheet on a mandrel (col 5, lns 30-33); and cooling the sheet in order to consolidate the yarns by freezing the thermoplastic and set the dimension and appearance of the sheet (col 5, ln 52-col 6, ln 10; figs 1-6). However, Loubinoux et al does not teach stripping static electricity from the yarns before passing the yarns through the heating zone. Such is well-known in the molding art in order to prevent the embedment of contamination or eliminate the risk of producing sparks. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to strip any static electricity from the yarns of Loubinoux et al before they are heated in order to ensure a safe molding process and produce a high quality contaminate-free, fiber-reinforced sheet.

5. Applicants' arguments filed 2/3/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that Loubinoux et al does not teach a shaping and centering device including a roller in a shape of a hyperboloid. The above combination of Loubinoux et al and Kuts teach the obviousness of using a roller having a hyperboloid shape in a yarn/thread-reinforced web/ribbon molding operation. Loubinoux et al and Kuts are combinable because they are analogous with respect to forming a composite web/ribbon having centered/gathered yarns/threads therein as reinforcement.




Art Unit: 1732

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDMUND H LEE whose telephone number is 703.305.4019. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY FROM 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JAN H SILBAUGH can be reached on 703.308.3829. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.305.7718 for regular communications and 703.305.3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.0661.

  
EDMUND H LEE 4/3/03  
Examiner  
Art Unit 1732

EHL  
April 3, 2003